

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vinginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

4	/					
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/474,576	12/29/1999	CASSANDRA J. MOLLETT	FDC-0140-PUS	2400		
22045 759	90 05/27/2003					
BROOKS & KUSHMAN			EXAM	EXAMINER		
1000 TOWN CE SOUTHFIELD,	ENTER 22ND FL MI 48075	RUDY, A	RUDY, ANDREW J			
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			3627			
,		DATE MAILED: 05/27/2003	DATE MAILED: 05/27/2003			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	_	Annlicant/s\					
Office Action Summary		<b>Application No.</b> 09/474,576		Applicant(s)  MOLLETT ET AL.					
		Examiner		Art Unit	V \				
		ļ	Pudv	3627					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
Period for Reply									
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).									
Status	Decreasing to accompanies that (a) filed as 00.4								
1)[\]	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 A</u>		1						
2a)⊠	,—	is action is non-fir							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.									
Disposition of Claims									
4) Claim(s) 9-16 is/are pending in the application.									
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.									
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.									
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>9-16</u> is/are rejected.								
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirer	ment.						
	on Papers								
,	The specification is objected to by the Examiner		an bada 🖶 -	•					
10)∟	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accep	,	•						
11)□ -	Applicant may not request that any objection to the Fhe proposed drawing correction filed on	=							
'''				ved by the Examiner	•				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.									
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120									
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).									
a) All b) Some * c) None of:									
٥/١	1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
14)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).									
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.									
Attachment(s)									
2) D Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲		(PTO-413) Paper No(s Patent Application (PTO					

Art Unit: 3627

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 9-16 are pending. Claims 1-8 have been cancelled by the Applicant from the April 9, 2003 Amendment, Paper No. 11.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

2. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

3. Claims 9-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

The basis of this rejection is set forth in a two-prong test of:

- (1) whether the invention is within the technological arts; and
- (2) whether the invention produces a useful, concrete, and tangible result.

For a claimed invention to be statutory, the claimed invention must be within the technological arts. Mere ideas in the abstract (i.e., abstract idea, law of nature, natural phenomena) that do not apply, involve, use, or advance the technological arts fail to promote the "progress of science and the useful arts" (i.e., the physical sciences as opposed to social sciences, for example) and therefore are found to be non-statutory subject matter. For a process, the recited process must somehow apply, involve, use, or advance the technological arts.

Page 3

Art Unit: 3627

In the present case, the claim language does not preclude everything from being done in ones head. The storing, classifying, modifying, labeling, receiving, processing, removing and retaining reiterated in the claims do not preclude each from being done in ones mind alone or in combination with pen and pencil.

Further, no technological art is presently claimed.

Applicant's REMARKS have been reviewed, but are not convincing. Nonewithstanding the recent Supreme Court decision citations and the fact that the present application is neither a law of nature or a natural phenomenon, the claims in question do not rise to the level of patentable subject matter. It is noted no claimed algorithm is recited in the claims. Reiterating, Applicant's claim language may be executed in ones mind and/or with pen and pencil. As is, the claims in question are abstract in nature and don't produce a useful, concrete, and tangible result.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. Applicant's comments have been reviewed. Cancelled claims 1-4 remove the basis for applying the previous rejection. New claims 9-16 are acceptable for examination purposes.

Art Unit: 3627

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. Applicant's comments have been reviewed regarding the 35 U.S.C. 102 rejections set forth in Paper No. 9. These rejections are withdrawn pursuant to the Applicant's newly drafted claims 9-16.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 9-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Templeton et al, US 5,679,940.

Templeton discloses, e.g. Fig. 1, a method using a computer for determining whether to collect from check writers from data comprising a negative file 85, a positive file 87 and a credit risk scoring algorithm 90, e.g. cols. 12-14. Templeton does not specifically indicate the negative file 85 is modified by removing the negative information, but does indicate that the negative file 85 is "continuously updated" (col. 12, line 59) and that the positive file 87 is used (col. 13, lines

Page 5

Art Unit: 3627

18-34) to determine suitability of cashing a check. Templeton inherently contains categories to ascertain whether a check will be honored or not. It is common knowledge and well known in the art that negative information, e.g. honest mistakes of an individual and/or banking institution, are removed from ones credit reports when assessing whether or not to cash a check. To have provided a modification of a negative file by removing negative information for Templeton would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art. Doing such would use well known correction factors to provide a more complete and updated database for risk assessment. To have provided the negative file to comprise a scrubbed file would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art as scrubbed files are well known in the art.

8. Further pertinent references of interest are included on PTO-892.

#### Conclusion

9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

Art Unit: 3627

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andrew Joseph Rudy whose telephone number is 703-308-7808. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday thru Friday, 7:30 a.m until 6 p.m.

The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-7687 for regular communications and 703-305-7687 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-3900.

Andrew Joseph Fronty